

AGENCY ESTIMATE

OF THE FISCAL IMPACT OF IMPLEMENTING

H.B. 459 1st Sub 2011 General Session

Education Interpretation Services for Deaf and Hearing Impaired Students

Sponsor: Rep. Don L. Ipson

Agency Contact: Von Hortin 2 Mar 2011

Agency Utah State Office of Education

Lead Analyst: Ben Leishman

Title: Audit/Finance Specialist

Office: 801-538-7670 Cell: 801-520-6859

A. Short Form (For bills that have no impact on the state, local governments, businesses, or individuals.)

If you can check all five boxes to the right, you're almost done. If the bill obviously doesn't have an impact, you're done.

- | | |
|---|---|
| X | State agencies will not require an appropriation to implement the bill. |
| X | There is no fiscal impact on local governments. |
| X | There is no fiscal impact on businesses |
| X | There is no fiscal impact on individuals. |
| X | The bill will not affect revenues. |

If it isn't so obvious, explain what's going on. The most usual explanation is the codification of existing practices.

Attachments welcome.

If necessary, explain why this bill has no fiscal impact.

The bill is changed to not affect businesses. It only changes the makeup of the board certifying interpreters and emergency conditions where Institutins of Higher Education can use their own certification.

B. What parts of the bill cause fiscal impact?

Cite specific sections or line numbers.

C. Which program gets the appropriation?

Enter 3 letter Appropriation Unit Code.

For multiple appropriations

This is of

D. Work Notes: Assumptions, calculations & what are we buying?

Explain the fiscal impact in plain English, detailing your assumptions, methods, & calculations.

List all direct costs. Identify one-time and ongoing costs. Detail FTE impacts.

Do not say, "\$50,000 in Current Expense." Be very specific about what this \$50,000 will buy.

Attachments encouraged.

This bill changes the makeup of the Interpreters Certification Board, the board that certifies deaf and hearing impaired student interpreters. It also creates an exemption for Institutions of Higher Education (IHE's) to hire non-certified interpreters if the IHE finds they meet their qualification standards.

E. REVENUES

Select Fund

| |
|--|
| |
| |
| |
| |

Current Budget Year
FY 2011

| |
|--|
| |
| |
| |
| |

Coming Budget Year
FY 2012

| |
|--|
| |
| |
| |
| |

Future Budget Year
FY 2013

| |
|--|
| |
| |
| |
| |

Total 0

0

0

F. COSTS by FUND

Select Fund

| |
|--|
| |
| |
| |
| |

Current Budget Year
FY 2011

| |
|--|
| |
| |
| |
| |

Coming Budget Year
FY 2012

| |
|--|
| |
| |
| |
| |

Future Budget Year
FY 2013

| |
|--|
| |
| |
| |
| |

Total 0

0

0

G. COSTS by EXPENDITURE CATEGORY.

Expenses by Category

Personal Services
Travel
Current Expense
DP Current Expense
DP Capital Outlay
Capital Outlay
Other/Pass Thru

Current Budget Year
FY 2011

| |
|--|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

Coming Budget Year
FY 2012

| |
|--|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

Future Budget Year
FY 2013

| |
|--|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

Total 0

0

0

H. Non-State Impacts

Your estimate of how will the bill affect:

Local Governments

None

Businesses

None

Individuals

None